



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES OF CASES.

Evidence as to Trailing by Dogs.—It is a matter of common knowledge that certain breeds of dogs possess the peculiar faculty of trailing by scent. Proof of such trailing is admitted by the courts only upon the most satisfactory evidence of scenting ability. Rules for laying proper foundation for admission of evidence of trailing by dogs are stated in detail in *Fite v. State*, 84 S. E. 485: "Evidence as to the conduct of dogs in following tracks should not be admitted until after a preliminary investigation, in which it is established that one or more of the dogs in question were of a stock characterized by acuteness of scent and power of discrimination, and had been trained or tested in the exercise of these qualities in the tracking of human beings, and were in the charge of one accustomed to use them. It must also appear that the dogs so trained and tested were laid on a trail, whether visible or not concerning which testimony has been admitted, and upon a track which the circumstances indicate to have been made by the accused. When these preliminary tests have been made, the fact of tracking by a bloodhound may be permitted to go to the jury as one of the circumstances which may tend to connect the defendant with the crime with which he is charged. Should the preliminary investigation disclose either that the dog was not of proper stock or untrained, or not in the charge of a person familiar with such dogs, or was not placed upon a trial connected at least by circumstances with the defendant, the trial court should exclude the entire testimony as to the conduct of the dogs. When such a foundation as that referred to above has been laid, and evidence showing the conduct of the dogs has been received, the jury should be charged, in substance, that before they can consider the conduct of the dogs they must find that the dogs were accurate, certain, and reliable in following the trail of human footsteps, and that if they find this, then the evidence of the conduct of the dogs and its result may be considered, together with all the other evidence in the case, as a circumstance in determining the guilt or innocence of the defendant."

Fire Insurance—Subrogation.—In *Fire Assn. of Philadelphia v. Wells*, in the Court of Errors and Appeals of New Jersey (June, 1915, 94 Atl., 619), it was laid down that "the right of subrogation accruing to an insurance company to recover from a tort-feasor through whose negligence the loss was incurred the amount paid on its policy of insurance is not barred by a settlement between the tort-feasor and the owner for a sum less than the actual liability of the former, and for which the latter gave a full release, for such a release is a fraud upon the subrogee, which will be no defense either at law or